

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,713		10/22/2003	Steve A. Jacob	200207564-1	6864
22879	7590	07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
		CARD COMPANY	PHAM, HAI CHI		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2861	
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cummans	10/691,713	JACOB, STEVE A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hai C. Pham	2861					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>t</i>							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/03.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 9-12, 14, 16-18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tosaka et al. (Pub. No. U.S. 2002/0058193).

Tosaka et al. discloses a toner and image forming method for creating photographic and text images in an electrophotographic image forming device, comprising providing a set of color toners (developing devices 41-44 containing yellow, magenta, cyan and black toners, respectively), said set comprising a toner having high cyan pigment load, a toner having a high magenta pigment load, a high yellow pigment load, providing a black toner having black pigment (each of the color toners having a high load of respective pigment set at 2-30 weight percent), providing a first set of image output terminal settings to deliver a partial amount of color toners to a target media, and providing a second set of image output terminal settings to deliver a complete amount of color toners to said target media (the color toners are sequentially deposited on the surface of the photosensitive drum 1 to develop the latent image formed on it, and the superposition of the toners forms the full-color image) (Fig. 1).

Tosaka et al. further teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/691,713 Page 3

Art Unit: 2861

 Transferring at least one said color toner to said target material (the full-color image being transferred from the intermediate transfer belt 5 onto the recording medium P).

- Transferring said black toner to said target media (the toner colors being superposed on each other),
- The target material being paper (plain paper P).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tosaka et al.

Tosaka et al. discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the color toners having a pigment load of from 40 to 60 weight percent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the pigment load of each color toner at between 40 to 60 weight percent as claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2861

5. Claims 2, 5-7, 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tosaka et al. in view of Caruthers, Jr. et al. (6,002,893).

Tosaka et al. discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the addition of one or more colors selected from red, blue and green or CMY pigments, the addition of the color toners to increase the pigment load by about 1 to 200 percent.

Caruthers, Jr. et al. discloses high and low pigment loadings for custom colors used in an electrophotographic printing system, wherein colorants such as pigments black, cyan, magenta, yellow, red, blue, green and mixtures of any one colorant comprise from 0.1 to 99.9 percent of the colorant mixture so as to increase pigment mass per unit area to obtain a desired custom color (col. 14, lines 21-46).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form mixture of desired colorants in the device of Tosaka et al. as taught by Caruthers, Jr. et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to extend the color gamut for use in the printing system.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for the indication of the allowability of claim 8 is the inclusion therein, in combination as currently claimed, of the limitation "wherein said first set of

Application/Control Number: 10/691,713 Page 5

Art Unit: 2861

image output terminal settings increase the speed of a fuser roller in an electrophotographic image forming device", which is not found taught by the prior art of record considered alone or in combination.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (571) 272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 8, 2005